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# Introduction

This code was developed with the intention of connecting 4 different platforms (Email, E-Learning, Facebook and Twitter) under one single application. For this purpose, we used pre-built API’s (Twitter4j, RestFB and JavaXMail) to retrieve the data regarding our accounts on those platforms, from posts published to emails received. After gathering the data, we then proceeded to store it in a data base using XML, turning our app from a more static state to an interactive one which allows the user to filter the data based on date, source, from, subject and content. Another prominent feature from our app is the fact that the user can create its own posts and/or emails.

# Code inspection – Name of the component being inspected

The most troublesome part was the integration of all the different components into one single class, the class APP\_WINDOW

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Meeting date:*  *Meeting duration:*  *Moderator:*  *Producer:*  *Inspector:*  *Recorder:* | *11/12/2018*  *15 minutes*  *Vitor Fernandes*  *Francisco Palma, João Duque, Diogo Aparício, Kaiser Carimo*  *Diogo Aparício*  *------------------* |
| *Component name (Package/Class/Method):* | *BDA.window/App\_Window* |
| *Component was compiled:* | *Yes* |
| *Component was executed:* | *Yes* |
| *Component was tested without errors:* | *Yes* |
| *Testing coverage achieved:* | *84%* |

# Code inspection checklist

The checklist for Java code inspection used in this project is available at <http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~sme/CSC444F/handouts/java_checklist.pdf> and in blackboard ES1 page.

# Found defects

Identify and describe found defects, opinions and suggestions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Found defect Id** | **Package, Class, Method, Line** | **Defect category** | **Description** |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |
| ... | ... | ... | ... |

# Corrective measures

*No defects were found*

# Conclusions of the inspection process

*Quality assessment of the component inspected for the purpose of integration/delivery the software (does it need no changes, minor/major changes/corrections, build from scratch).*

This defect needed some patching before final shipping in order to filter the